85

```
BEFORE THE POLICE BOARD
                   OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO
      IN THE MATTER OF CHARGES
      FILED AGAINST
P.O. MAURICE ANDERSON.
                                        ) Case No. 14PB2856
                           - DAY II -
 6
                CONTINUED REPORT OF THE VIDEOTAPED
      PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing in the
      above-entitled matter before Mr. Fredrick
10
      Bates, Mearing Officer, at 30 North LaSalle
1.3
      Street, Suite 1240, Chicago, Illinois, on
12
      October 20, 2014, at the hour of 10:00 a.m.
13
       APPEARANCES:
14
           CITY OF CHICAGO
          DEPARTMENT OF LAW
BY: MS. HILLINA T. TAMRAT
30 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1020
16
17
                 Chicago, Illinois 60602,
18
                      On behalf of the Superintendent;
19
           MR. DANIEL Q. HERBERT and MR. WILL FAHY,
21
                      On behalf of Respondent;
22
           POLICE BOARD OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO
           MR. MAX CAPRONI
23
24
              -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-
                          - (312) 368-1228
```

for the record.

MS. TAMRAT: Hilling Tamrat on behalf of

the Superintendent.

4 MR. HERBERT: And Dan Herbert on behalf of

5 Mr. Maurice Anderson.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Okav. We've had a

number of issues since we had day one of this

trial back in July. Are we prepared to

9 proceed?

10 MR. HERBERT: We are. And just for the 11 record, it was discussed earlier that there was

12 potential conflict regarding my having a

telephone conversation and possible

representation of Ms. Lewis concerning a number

15 of issues, one of which included the issue

16 which is before the Board in this case. So

17 accordingly what we have done is I have agreed

with the parties, Ms. Lewis and Mr. Anderson,

that I will continue on the case and that the

20 cross-examination of Ms. Lewis will be

21 conducted by a lawyer who's not part of my

22 firm, Mr. Will Fahy.

23 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Housekeeping

matter. Mr. Fahy, have you filed an appearance -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD -

-(312) 368-1228-

83

```
INDEX
```

PAGE WITNESS:

SHARITA LEW IS

Direct Examination by Ms. Tamrat.....86-109 Cross-Examination by Mr. Fahy......109-123 Redirect Examination by Ms. Tamrat..123-127

MAURICE ANDERSON

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

18

19

22

23 24

Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert..127-132

_ _ 8

9 CLOSING STATEMENTS PAGE By Mr. Herbert..... 137

10 By Ms. Tamrat..... 147 11

13 14 15 16 17

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Good morning. Calling the matter of Police Officer Maurice Anderson, case number 14 BP 2856.

Would counsel identify them selves -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228in this matter?

2

9

14

19

24

MR. FAHY: I have not.

3 HEARING OFFICER BATES: All right.

Unfortunately, we're going to have to take a

5 break. I should have thought about this when 6 we were off the record. I apologize. But we

7

will take a 60-second break so Mr. Fahy can

8 file an appearance form.

(Brief pause.)

10 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Mr. Fahy, I've

11 received your appearance in connection with

12 this matter, so you will be allowed for the

13 purposes which Mr. Herbert has identified. I

think that's a wise move.

15 Ms. Tamrat, I guess your case has

16 never rested so we are still in your case. We

just took a couple of witnesses out of order

18 for the Respondent. And you may call your next

witness.

20 MS. TAMRAT: The Superintendent would like

21 to call Officer Sharita Lewis.

22 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Would you swear the

23 witness, please.

(Witness was duly

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

1 sworn.)

2 SHARITA LEWIS,

called as a witness herein, after having been 3 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. TAMRAT: 7

Q. Good morning. If you could please

state your full name and spell it for the 9

record. 10

6

8

13

18

21

24

1

3

5

8

10

13

15

16

24

A. Sharita Lewis. S-H-A-R-I-T-A. 11

12 L-E-W-I-S.

Q. And you are a police officer?

A. Iam. 14

Q. How long have you been a police 15

16 officer?

A. Ten years. 17

Q. What district are you currently

assigned to? 19

A. Unit 167. 20

Q. And I want to direct your attention to

October of 2008. During that time, were you

23 married?

A. I was.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

87

Q. And who were you married to?

A. Mr. Anderson.

Q. And is that Officer Maurice Anderson?

A. Correct. 4

HEARING OFFICER BATES: One second,

6 Counsel.

7 Officer Lewis, that's not a

microphone. I need you to speak up. Okay?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Thank you.

Proceed. 11

BY MS. TAMRAT: 12

Q. Are you still married to Officer

Anderson? 14

A. No, I'm not.

Q. I'm going to direct your attention

specifically to October 9th of 2008. What is 17

the date October 9? 18

A. It's the date -- it's my son's 19

birthday. The date an incident occurred at my 20

21 house.

Q. Okay. And on October 9th of 2008, did

25 you celebrate your son's birthday?

A. We did.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228Q. And how many children do you have?

A. Three.

Q. And what are their ages?

A. Jonathan is 15. Braydon is 12. And 4

Lawson is eight.

Q. Is Officer Anderson their father? 6

A. No.

1

2

3

5

7

10

16

21

24

3

6

8

11

13

Q. And did you say you celebrated your 8

son's birthday at your residence? 9

A. That's correct.

11 Q. Is that 7654 South Reiger?

A. Yes. 12

Q. And was your ex-husband present at the 13

house for the celebration? 14

A. Yes. 15

Q. Whose house is that?

A. It's my house. 17

18 Q. At around 8:00 p.m. of that day, 2008,

on your son's birthday, around 8:00 p.m., was 19

your husband at at home, your ex-husband? 20

A. Yes, he was there.

Q. Were the children in the house? 22

23 A. Yes, they were there.

Q. After you celebrated your son's

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

89

birthday at around 8:00 p.m., did you happen to

go to the basement of your house?

A. I did.

4 Q. And what, if anything, did you notice

5 when you went to the basement?

A. I noticed the lights were out. It was

dark down there. And Mr. Anderson was sitting 7

on the couch on the phone in the dark.

Q. Did you say anything to Mr. Anderson 9

10 when you saw that?

A. I did.

Q. What did you say to him? 12

A. Something to the effect I know you're

14 not down here on the phone in the dark talking

15 to someone else. Take your phone, your bags

and your conversation and leave my house. 16 17

Q. Once you said that to Officer

18 Anderson, how did he respond?

19 A. He told me -- whoever he was talking

to that he'd call them back and he said I 20

didn't know what the F I was talking about 21

22 and get out of his face or something.

Q. And what happened after that?

A. He got up. I was standing there, and -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

23

24

- he got up to walk past me and he hit me with such force with his body that I fell to the
- ground. 3

6

7

8

14

15

16

17 18

19

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And once you fell to the ground what did vou do?
- A. I got up and started yelling at him and said why did you push me and he said he didn't push me.
- Q. And what happened after that? 9
- A. He hit me in my stomach. 10
- Q. And was that with a fist? 11
- A. Yes. 12
- Q. What else happened? 13
 - A. I started screaming, you know, and the fight ensued. By then I was backed up against the wall, and he was choking me and had begun to hit me, you know, my face and my neck and he was choking me.
 - Q. And as he was hitting you on the face,
- and I think you said --20
- A. My neck. 21
- 22 Q. Choking you around the neck, did you
- do anything or try to do anything? 23
- A. Yeah, I was screaming and trying to 24 -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

91

- fight back. He was hitting me -- it was my head, too, my head, too.
- Q. You said you were screaming. Did you hear anything from any of your children?
- A. I heard my baby. I was screaming for Jonathan to call the police and I heard him say let my mommy go, leave my mommy alone and he let me go.
- 9 **Q.** Is Jonathan your oldest?
- A. Yeah. Yes. 10
 - Q. Now, this happened in the basement, right?
- A. Correct. In the foyer of the 13 basement. 14
- Q. And where were the children during 15 16 this time?
 - A. When I went downstairs, they were playing in the kitchen. It's an open floor plan, so it's right by the stairs of the basement.
 - Q. And the kitchen is on the main floor?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You said that when your son started 25 screaming let my mommy go, he let you go? 24
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- A. He released me.
- Q. He released you? 2
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. What did you do once he released you?
- A. I ran up the stairs. 5
 - Q. Does that mean you went to the
- kitchen? 7

1

6

- 8 No, I ran -- it's an open-floor plan,
- so I ran straight which was by the fireplace.
- Q. And then what did you do once you got 10 to the fireplace? 11
- A. Grabbed the fire poker, because I 12
- heard him coming up the stairs after me. 13
- Q. You grabbed the fire poker, what did 14 you do next? 15
- A. Closed my eyes and started swinging. 16
 - Q. Do you know if you actually made
- 18 contact?

17

20

23

6

11

- A. If felt like I did. 19
 - Q. I'm sorry?
- 21 A. If felt like I did. My eyes were
- 22 closed. I was just swinging.
 - Q. What happened after that?
- A. I ran upstairs and my kids were 24 -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

93

- upstairs by then. I ran upstairs and locked
- 2 myself in my bedroom with the kids and the 3 babysitter.
- 4 Q. Okay. So when you say kids, you're referring to all three of your kids?
- A. Correct.
- 7 Q. You mentioned the babysitter.
- A. Yes. 8
- 9 **Q.** She was in the house at that time?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - **Q.** Who was the babysitter?
- A. Louise. 12
- 13 Q. Once you locked yourself in your
- bedroom with your children, did you hear 14
- anything from Officer Anderson? 15
- A. Yes, he came up the stairs behind me 16 and was banging on the door and saying he 17
- 18 wanted to talk to me. I told him I was calling
- the police and I was calling the department. 19
- 20 Q. Once you told him you would call the
- 21 police and the department, what, if anything,
- 22 happened?
- 23 A. We heard this loud crash and like a
 - bump. And we looked out the window, he had -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

Page 90 to 93 of 152

left. 1

9

- Q. You said that you had screamed while 2
- you were still in the basement to your son to 3 call the police?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you subsequently find out if he 6
- had, indeed, called the police? 7
- A. They were very upset, so they were 8
- saying yes and -- yeah, they said they did. They didn't know if they gave the right address 10
- 11 so I called back.
- Q. Did you subsequently go downstairs to 12 the main floor? 13
- A. I did. 14
- Q. And when you went downstairs, did you 15 see anything? 16
- A. The door was wide open and it was 17 broken glass from the candleholder. 18
- Q. You said broken glass from the 19 20 candleholder?
- A. Yes. 21
- 22 Q. Did the police arrive?
- A. They did. After awhile they did. 23
- 24 **Q.** And did you tell them what happened?
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-
 - 95
 - A. I did.
- Q. And did an evidence technician also arrive at some point? 3
- A. Yes, shortly thereafter he did. 4
- Q. Did the evidence technician take 5
- pictures? 6

1

2

8

- A. He did. 7
 - Q. Did he take pictures of the injuries
- you had sustained?
- A. He did. 10
- Q. I'm going to hand the witness what has 11
- already been entered into evidence as 12
- Superintendent Exhibit No. 2. 13
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Group Exhibit 2. 14
- MS. TAMRAT: Yes. 15
- BY MS. TAMRAT: 16
- **Q.** Officer Lewis, I'm going to direct 17
- your attention to page number two on the
- exhibit, and the numbers are handwritten on the 19
- bottom-right-hand corner. Page two. Is this a 20
- 21 picture of you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. For the record?
- A. Yes. I'm sorry. 24
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- Q. Thank you. Number three? 1
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is this a picture that the
- evidence technician took on the night in
- question? 5

2

3

6

9

10

14

19

22

5

6

8

10

16

18

19

21

22

24

- A. It is.
- Q. And does this show the injuries around 7
- your right-side mouth? 8
 - A. It does.
 - Q. And your jaw?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Page four, is this also a picture 12
- taken by the evidence technician? 13
 - A. It is.
- 15 **Q.** And what does it show, the fourth
- 16 picture? A. Injuries to my neck. 17
- **Q.** Is this as a result of the choking? 18
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Officer Lewis, do you need a break?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- (Brief pause.)
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Officer Lewis, are 23
- you ready to proceed?
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

97

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Counsel, proceed.
- 3 MS. TAMRAT: Can you read the last
- question, please.
 - (Said record was read
 - back.)
- 7 BY MS. TAMRAT:
 - Q. Officer Lewis, I'm going to direct
- 9 your attention to page five.
 - A. Okay. Yes.
- 11 **Q.** And is this yet another picture of
- 12 you?
- 13 A. It is.
- Q. And does it show any injuries that you 14
- suffered on October 9th of 2008?
 - A. Yeah, my head. There's a mark on my
- 17 head.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. There's a mark on my head.
- 20 Q. And then the next page, page six, is
 - this yet another picture?
 - A. Yes.
- 23 **Q.** And does it show a bump on your head?
 - A. It does.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

10/31/2014 01:10:05 PM

Q. I'm going to direct your attention to
 page eight. What is this a picture of?

A. A candleholder.

Q. Is this the candleholder you said was broken that night?

A. No.

7 Q. Okay.

3

6

8

A. This candleholder was behind the front

9 door. When the door opened -- when he opened

10 the door to leave, the bang and the crash we

11 heard, it was the candleholder, the door

12 hitting the candleholder.

Q. Did it get broken as a result of that?

14 A. Yes.

Q. I'm sorry, when you said no, did you

16 mean this was not the fire poker, is that what

17 you were thinking?

18 A. No. I didn't think you were 19 referencing the fire poker because it's 20 different than the candleholder.

21 Q. Okay. And page nine, what does this

22 show?

23

24

5

8

A. The broken candleholder and it looks like a little blood on the wall.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

99

1 Q. Is this the front door?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. You testified it had been left open?

4 A. Yes.

Q. And then page ten, were you able to

6 tell what this is?

7 A. I'm sorry, I can't.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Counsel, before you

9 move on from page nine, I'm always very careful

10 when there's a transcript, or even a video, and

11 someone's testifying about a document when they

12 describe the document, that that description be

13 accurate. She just testified that this shows

14 the candleholder. In fact, there -- there --

15 what appear to be two objects with candles in

16 this in this photograph. So perhaps you can

17 further question the witness about clarifying

18 what this is so the Board will understand or

19 any reviewing court what she's talking about

20 here.

21 MS. TAMRAT: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Page nine of Group

Exhibit 2.

24 BY MS. TAMRAT:

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.(312) 368-1228-

100

Q. So Officer Lewis, on page nine, we do

2 see a candleholder with just a little bit of

3 glass on it, which is to the left of the

4 picture?

1

5

6

11

12

20

23

24

2

5

A. Okay.

Q. And to the left. And then to the

7 right there's another candleholder, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. So the one on the left, is that the

10 one you said was broken as a result of the

banging of the door that day?

A. The door slamming into it, correct.

13 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Thank you, Counsel.

14 You may proceed.

15 BY MS. TAMRAT:

Q. And then you testified also that in

17 this picture you see a little bit of blood on

18 the wall?

19 A. Yes.

Q. Are you referring to the left side of

21 the picture?

22 A. I am.

Q. Do you have any idea what that is?

A. Louise cut her hand when she was

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

101

1 getting up the glass.

Q. And this is the glass part of the

3 candleholder?

4 A. Correct.

Q. And Louise is the babysitter, I

6 believe?

A. Yes, she helped clean up after the

8 incident.

Q. Then page 11, can you describe page

10 11, the picture on page 11?

11 A. It looks like my front door. Part of

12 my front door. And only I can identify it

13 because my front door was brown in color. Dark

14 brown.

17

18

19

22

23

15 Q. We'll move on to the next page, which

16 is page 12. Do you recognize this -- what's

depicted in this picture?

A. It looks like my basement foyer area next to the door, backdoor, the basement door.

20 Q. And is this the location where the

21 incident had occurred?

A. Yes.

Q. And had you taken the evidence

4 technician around the house to show him where -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD,-

102

the incident had occurred? 1

A. I did. 2

Q. And then --3

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Keep your voice up,

THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6

BY MS. TAMRAT: 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

Q. Page 13, what does that show?

A. Again, the basement, like the basement foyer area.

Q. And page 14?

A. That's definitely the basement fover

Q. Do these pictures that you went over, except for page -- I think you said you didn't recognize what page ten was. Other than page ten, do these pictures accurately reflect the condition either of yourself or your house on October 9th of 2008?

A. It does.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Counsel, she didn't 21 testify regarding page ten at all. Maybe that 22

was in your private meeting with her. Just so 23

the record is clear.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

103

MS. TAMRAT: I thought I asked her and she 1 said she didn't recognize what it is. I can 2 ask again. 3

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Move on. You don't 4

need to. Move on. 5

6 BY MS. TAMRAT:

Q. I'm going to go ahead and play what 7

has been entered into evidence as 8

Superintendent's Exhibit No. 5-A. 9

Officer Lewis, I'm going to play 10

the audio of the 911 call, and I'm going to ask 11

you questions after we play it. 12

(Playing audiotape.)

BY MS. TAMRAT: 14

Q. Were you able to hear that?

A. I was.

Q. Do you recognize any of the voices on

that audio? 18

A. Ido.

Q. Who said "little man, what's going

on"? 21

13

15

16

17

19

20

25

A. Louise.

Q. And that's the woman you described as

a babysitter?

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228A. Correct.

1

2

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

2

3

10

16

17

Q. And who is the child who gives

information to the 911 call-taker? 3

A. Braydon.

Q. And that's one of your sons?

A. That's my middle son.

Q. I'm going to ask you about your

children the night of the incident. You said 8

that you ran upstairs and took your children

10 and went to your bedroom and locked the door, correct? 11

A. They were already up there.

Q. They were up there. Okay. When your

children saw you, how did they react?

A. Jonathan was hugging me and Braydon was over by Louise and she had the baby and hugging Louise. Jonathan was crying and the baby was fussy.

Q. Did you subsequently reconcile with 19 your husband after this incident? 20

A. With my ex-husband?

Q. I'm sorry. Your ex-husband.

A. I did.

24 Q. Did he say anything to you about

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

105

hitting you? 1

A. Which time?

Q. After this particular incident.

A. He says it's my fault. That I make 4 him angry. He's never did this before with 5 anvone else. 6

7 Q. Was this -- on October 9th of 2008, was this the first time your ex-husband had hit

8 9 you?

MR. HERBERT: Objection.

11 HEARING OFFICER BATES: I don't think it's 12 relevant.

13 MS. TAMRAT: I would disagree with that.

Number one, when Counsel called the two

15 character witnesses in mitigation --

HEARING OFFICER BATES: They didn't talk about his propensity for violence.

18 MS. TAMRAT: If I may.

19 HEARING OFFICER BATES: As I recall. If

20 you got the transcript, go ahead. It's been a

21 long time. I'm not going to profess to

22 remember everything that was said.

23 MS. TAMRAT: So this was witness Geraldine

Robinson, and she testified on page 68 of the -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

106

- 1 transcript, line 18. So that's page 68, line
- 18. "I never saw him put his hands on anyone.
- He never got violent. He never got abusive."

This testimony is allowed in mitigation. The Superintendent certainly should be allowed to

- offer what Officer Anderson had done that
- is -- put his hands on his ex-wife, how he got 7
- violent with her and how he was abusive with
- her. It is directly on point. It is relevant.
- He has opened the door with that testimony. 10
- And the Police Board does allow the -- the same 11
- as it allows for mitigation after a finding of 12
- guilt. It also allows for aggravation. It 13
- clearly is in the Police Board rules and I can
- cite to the specific rule. 15

HEARING OFFICER BATES: I know what the 16

- 17 rules say. Response, Counsel?
- MR. HERBERT: I would object and just state 18
- that the mitigation witness offered a general 19
- statement, not specific to this victim. So I
- 21 don't think it's proper that she speak about
- other incidents because it doesn't in any way 22
- 23 contradict what the character witness testified
- to. 24

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

107

- 1 HEARING OFFICER BATES: I'm a little bit
- concerned about the Illinois Supreme Court, not
- 3 that we're strictly bound by the rules, but
- they've consistently held that impeachment of a
- 5 witness' reputation is not to be used by
- 6 specific instances, and that's Rule 608 that I
- referred to maybe in another proceeding once 7
- before. And People versus Cookson I believe is 8
- the case, the Illinois Supreme Court
- 2005 -- I'm going to sustain the objection. 10
- Move on. 11
- MS. TAMRAT: If I may. I understand your 12
- ruling, Mr. Bates. When the witness 13
- testified -- when I asked her did Officer 14
- Anderson say anything to you about hitting you 15
- and she answered which time, there was no 16
- objection, so I'm going to argue --17
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: That had nothing to 18
- do with your question. That was her answer. 19
- He could not object anticipating an answer. 20
- Counsel, I've ruled. I've asked you to move 21
- MS. TAMRAT: Okay. I can move on. 25
- I have nothing further. I'm 24
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- sorry. I do have a few questions more.
- BY MS. TAMRAT:

7

20

1

6

7

- 3 Q. How has this incident affected you and your children?
- MR. HERBERT: Objection. 5
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Basis?
 - MR. HERBERT: Relevance.
- **HEARING OFFICER BATES: Response?** 8
- MS. TAMRAT: This is a case of domestic 9
- violence of a wife with children in the house. 10
- Absolutely relevant to ask what is the effect 11
- 12 on the ex-wife and the children in the house.
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: And the Circuit 13
- 14 Court of Cook County it might be. I don't
- think it's relevant here. Sustained. Next 15
- question, Counsel. 16
- MS. TAMRAT: I have no further questions. 17
- 18 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Cross.
- 19 MR. FAHY: Thank you.
 - CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. FAHY:
- Q. Ma'am, this incident that you 22
- 23 testified about occurred back in October of
- 2008, correct?

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

109

- A. Yes.
- Q. At that time you were married to 2
- 3 Maurice?
- A. I was. 4
- 5 Q. And this argument and this incident
 - began in the basement of the home?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that that's after Maurice went 8
- 9 down to the basement by himself, correct?
- A. Yes. He was already down there, yes. 10
- 11 Q. And he was down there with his phone?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And when you walked down to the
- basement, you were able to see that he was
- having some type of phone conversation. 15
- 16 correct?

17

20

23

24

- A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And at that point, that is when you
- 19 confronted him about the phone conversation?
 - MS. TAMRAT: Objection to the use of the
- 21 word "confront".
- 22 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Rephrase, Counsel. BY MR. FAHY:
 - Q. Did you say anything to him when you -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

110

- saw him on the phone? 1
 - A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. And at that point you believed he was talking to another woman in your home, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that, of course, made you angry, 6

7 right?

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

2

5

15

25

- A. I wouldn't say angry. I was tired at that point. I wouldn't say angry. Just kind of --
 - **Q.** Were you yelling at him?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What did you say to him?
- A. I said, Maurice, something to the effect of I know you're not down here on the phone in the dark talking to someone else. Take your phone, your conversation and your two bags and leave my house.
- Q. And didn't Maurice leave the house shortly after that?
- A. After -- yeah, after the incident he 21 did. 22
 - Q. And before he left the house, he did try to speak to you?
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

111

- A. After the incident, correct. 1
 - Q. You told him you didn't want to speak
- 3 to him?
- A. I did. 4
 - Q. Did you threaten his job?
- A. No. 6
- Q. Did you tell him he could save it for 7
- internal affairs? 8
- 9 A. I told him -- I told him he can talk 10 to the police department. I said you can talk to the police and to IAD, I did say that. 11
- Q. Okay. And IAD is the Internal Affairs 12
- Division, correct? 13
- 14 A. Yes.
- **Q.** Now, you testified here today about 16 this incident occurring down in the basement,
- correct? 17
- A. Correct. 18
- Q. You testified that Maurice pushed you 19
- 20 in the basement? 21
 - A. Yeah. Yeah, I guess you can call it a push.
 - **Q.** And you're saying he punched you also?
- 24 A. Yes.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- Q. And he was choking you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And he grabbed you by the neck? 3
 - A. Yeah, that was the choking.
 - Q. And that all happened down in the
- basement? 6

1

2

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

11

13

14

18

- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, no one else was in the basement 9
 - when this occurred?
 - A. I don't know if my son was there. I heard his voice very vividly, but I didn't see him.
 - Q. Well, do you remember speaking to a Detective Kroll shortly after this incident?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Do you remember speaking with a detective from the Chicago Police Department relative to this investigation?
 - A. Honestly I don't.
 - Q. Do you remember police officers asking you if anyone else witnessed this incident?
- 22 A. I don't. Let me -- so that we're on 23 the same page, which police officers, the officers that were present or are you referring

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

113

- now to the detectives? 1
 - Q. I'm referring to detectives, Detective
- 3 Kroll, who also was accompanied by a Sergeant
- 4 Spellman who interviewed you relative to this
- 5 investigation shortly after it occurred.
- 6 A. I don't. Where was the interview at? 7 I don't remember. It was more than one 8
 - incident, so... Q. Do you remember speaking to a police
- 9 10 detective relative to this incident?
 - A. Not specifically I don't. I'm sorry.
- 12 Q. Do you remember speaking to a police
 - sergeant relative to this incident?
 - A. I don't.
- 15 Q. Do you remember that during that same
- 16 interview a Sergeant Spellman from internal
- affairs was also present? 17
 - A. I don't know -- I remember an aide was
- there. I don't remember who --19
- 20 Q. So you do remember speaking to them
- 21 relative to this incident?
- 22 A. I don't remember -- I remember -- I
- 23 can tell you what I do remember. I remember
 - being in a room and I remember having a

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

8 of 27 sheets

Page 110 to 113 of 152

10/31/2014 01:10:05 PM

- conversation. I don't know who was who or -you know, I know they were from the police
- department. I don't recall it being an interview. It could have. But that's what I remember.
- Q. Do you remember during the course of 6 this interview them asking you whether or not anyone in the residence witnessed this
- 9 incident?
- 10 MS. TAMRAT: I would object. There's no basis for impeachment. 11
- 12 HEARING OFFICER BATES: He is questioning her. I haven't seen an impeachment yet. He is 13 asking -- he is refreshing her -- he is not 14 refreshing, he's testing her recollection, her 15
- knowledge. Overruled. 16
- THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't ... 17
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Answer. 18
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question. 19
- BY MR. FAHY: 20

1

2

4

5 6

7

- **Q.** Do you remember them asking you if 21 anyone else in the residence at the time this 22 occurred witnessed the incident? 23
 - A. No, I don't remember them specifically -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

115

asking me anything.

- Q. Okay. Do you remember specifically telling them that nobody in the residence, including the babysitter, Louise Spencer, witnessed the assault, do you remember telling them that?
 - A. I could have. I don't remember.
- MS. TAMRAT: I would object if that was an 8 9 attempt to impeach. She didn't say anything that contradicted this statement. 10
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Overruled. 11
- BY MR. FAHY: 12
- Q. Now, on this very same night, the 13 police did arrive about 15 minutes after 14
- Maurice left the home; is that correct? 15
- A. They came a short time after. I don't 16 know what the time frame was. 17
- **Q.** And that was a police officer by the 18 name of Moore, M-O-O-R-E, and Yancey, 19
- 20 Y-A-N-C-E-Y?
 - A. Yes.
 - **Q.** And they were police officers from the 4th Police District?
- 24 A. Correct.
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- Q. And they obviously interviewed you 1
- 2 about what had happened at your home, correct?
 - A. Yes.

3

18

1

3

9

11

14

15

- 4 Q. And when you described what happened
- in the basement, isn't it true you told them
- that there was a verbal altercation and
- 7 Mr. Anderson pushed you?
- MS. TAMRAT: Objection. How is that 8
- 9 impeachment?
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: You keep talking 10 about impeachment. He is not impeaching her. 11
- He is asking her what she told them. 12
- 13 If she says no and then he
- impeaches her with the document, that is 14
- impeachment. 15
- Overruled. Please allow her to 16
- 17 answer the question.
 - MS. TAMRAT: If I may, I don't mean to --
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Go ahead. 19
- MS. TAMRAT: -- talk back. If he is not 20
- trying to impeach her, then I would object that 21
- that's hearsay. 22
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Overruled. 23
- BY MR. FAHY: 24

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

117

- Q. Isn't it true that's what you told
- them happened in the basement? 2
 - A. Can you repeat the question, sir.
- 4 Q. When you spoke with Officer Moore and Officer Yancey after they arrived at your home
- on the evening of October 9th, 2008, they
- interviewed you relative to what happened in 7
- your home, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Isn't it true that you told them that
 - what happened was a -- that there was a verbal
- altercation and Mr. Anderson pushed you? Did 12
- you tell them that? 13
 - A. I don't recall exactly. I told them exactly what happened. I don't --
- Q. Let me ask you this: After you told 16 17 them that, did you tell them that you went 18 upstairs in your home?
- 19 A. I want to answer as truthfully as
- 20 possible, so I'm going to say this: I told 21
- them exactly what happened. As I laid it out
- here before, before when I was talking to the 22
- other attorney. There was a push. He brushed 23
- past me to the point where I hit the floor and 24 -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

21

25-

118

1 I got up and yelled why did you push me. After 2 the incident, after I was released, after he stopped choking me and I ran upstairs, I did run upstairs. I don't want to just go from it he pushed me and I ran upstairs. We're missing a whole lot of other things in between.

Q. Ma'am, let me ask you this: Isn't it true when Officer Yancey and Officer Moore spoke to you that evening, you never told them that Maurice punched you in the basement, did vou?

MS. TAMRAT: Objection. It says right here. I have it in front of me.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: The witness is not testifying from a statement. He's entitled to ask her the question. Overruled. He's not impeaching her. He is asking her a set of facts that she is either go going to say yes or no to. Overruled.

MS. TAMRAT: But that's not my objection. HEARING OFFICER BATES: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Again, can you repeat the question.

BY MR. FAHY:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

25

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

119

Q. Isn't it true you never told those officers that you were punched in the basement?

A. I don't -- isn't it true questions are always a little tricky, so I'm going to say this: I told them exactly what happened.

Q. Let me ask you this: Isn't it true that you never told them that my client Maurice ever grabbed you by the neck or started choking you in the basement?

A. Again, attorney, I told them -- I told them exactly what happened.

Q. Let me just ask you this and see if we can clarify this: Isn't it true you told those officers that there was a verbal dispute in the basement and that he pushed you and then you went upstairs?

A. Again, I'm not -- I don't like answering isn't it true questions because sometimes I get -- they're tricky.

I will say that I told Officer Moore and Officer Yancey exactly what happened which is the reason why they ordered the ET.

Q. You testified that when you went upstairs from the basement you grabbed a poker, -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228a fireplace poker?

1

2

3

4

11

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. After I heard Maurice coming up the stairs, I did.

Q. Can you describe what that is?

5 A. It sits in front of the fireplace for decoration, and I guess -- I don't know if I'm miswording, maybe it's not a poker. Maybe it's 7 8 an official word, something else, but it sits in a three-ring thing, it has a brush, a small little shovel and like a sticky thing. 10

Q. Did you grab the stick?

A. I grabbed -- I grabbed the stick. I 12 grabbed the stick. 13

Q. And that's a metal stick?

A. I don't know. It could be plastic. 15 16 It's for decoration. It's not a real fireplace 17 that I have.

Q. Did you then start hitting Maurice with that fire poker?

A. I closed my eyes and backed up to the fireplace as close as I could and started swinging when I saw him coming at me.

Q. When you were swinging that poker at

him, he wasn't fighting back, was he?

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

121

1 A. When I realized -- I swung it a couple of times, and I realized he wasn't fighting me, 2 I left the poker go and ran upstairs.

4 **Q.** When you realized he wasn't fighting 5 you, you dropped the poker and went upstairs?

A. Ran upstairs.

Q. When you got upstairs, you didn't lock yourself in the door, did you?

A. Yeah, I locked the door.

Q. You did?

A. Yeah.

12 Q. Isn't it true that you just went 13 upstairs to talk to your children?

14 A. I don't believe so, no. I locked the 15 door.

Q. But Maurice did walk up the stairs?

A. Yes.

Q. And he was asking to speak to you?

A. He was doing what he always do after he hits me, he was trying to calm me down, asking can he talk to me. And I was on the other side of the door. The door was locked.

Q. Do you remember being interviewed by the Independent Police Review Authority on -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- April 9th, 2009, relative to this incident? 1
- A. Vaguely. 2
- Q. Do you remember? 3
 - A. Vaguely.
- Q. Do you remember being questioned by an
- investigator Robert Cozzi from the Independent 6
- Police Review Authority? 7
 - A. I remember him. I remember him.
 - Q. And do you remember after giving a
- statement to Investigator Cozzi relative to 10
- this incident reading the statement? 11
 - A. Yeah, I'm sure I did. I don't --
- Q. Do you remember after reading the 13
- statement signing each page of the statement 14
- after you verified that it was accurately 15
- recorded? 16

8

9

12

5

6

8

14

19

- A. I did. I don't remember that's 17 18
 - standard. I don't specifically remember it's
- standard that we do that. 19
- 20 **Q.** And do you remember telling
- 21 Investigator Cozzi when you were describing
- this incident that I then went upstairs and 22
- talked to my children. He then came up the
- stairs and asked if he could talk to me. I
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

123

- told -- I think I told him that he could
- explain everything to the police and IAD,
- because I had nothing else to say to him. Do
- you remember saying that? 4
 - A. Yes, I said that, that's correct.
 - Q. So you never told him that you had to
- lock yourself in the room, did you? 7
 - A. I locked the door. No. He didn't ask
- me specifically that. We were talking through 9 the door. 10
- MR. FAHY: May I have one moment? 11
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Yes. 12
- (Brief pause.) 13
 - MR. FAHY: I have no further questions.
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: That was an attempt 15
- at impeachment, Counsel, for whatever it's 16
- worth. Any redirect? 17
- MS. TAMRAT: Unsuccessful if I might say. 18
 - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MS. TAMRAT: 20
- Q. When the Officer Samantha Moore and 21
 - Yancey came to the house and you described to
- them what happened, did they ask you to write
- anything down for them?
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

- A. They did not.
- 2 Q. So whatever report they wrote, they
- 3 wrote?

1

4

6

9

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

5

12

18

20

23

24

- A. They did.
- Q. Using their own words? 5
 - A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You just described what happened to
- 8 them?
 - A. I did.
- 10 Q. Did they show you what they had
- 11 written?
 - A. No, they gave me a victim's incident notice with the RD number.
 - Q. But the actual narrative is not
 - something that they would have given you?
 - A. No, we don't give those.
- Q. And they didn't ask you if they had 17
- 18 actually summarized everything correctly?
- A. No, I never saw what they summarized. 19
- Q. And then you were also asked about 20
- being interviewed by other -- detective or 21
- sergeant? 22
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you said you remember talking to
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-
 - -(312) 368-1228-

125

- 1 people?
- A. I know they were from the police department, I can't remember their rank or
- their names or who it was.
 - Q. Okay. And also when they were
- interviewing you, did they ask you to sign anything?
- 7 8
 - A. I don't recall. I don't think so.
- Q. You were questioned by counsel about 9
- whether anyone had witnessed the actual 10
- hittina? 11
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And is it your testimony that you're 13 not sure if your sons saw anything; is that 15 right?
- A. That's correct. I heard him vividly. 16 17
 - I didn't see him again.
 - Q. Okay.
- MS. TAMRAT: I have nothing further. 19
 - MR. FAHY: I have nothing based on that.
- HEARING OFFICER BATES: Officer Lewis, 21
- thank you for coming in. I'd ask you to stay 22
 - seated until he disconnects your microphone.

(Brief pause.)

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

126

- 1 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Does the
- 2 Superintendent rest?
- 3 MS. TAMRAT: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Reopen your case.

- I presume you're going to recall Officer
- 6 Anderson?

9

10

- 7 MR. HERBERT: I am.
- 8 MS. TAMRAT: I just want to confirm I do
 - have all my exhibits, I think, entered.
 - HEARING OFFICER BATES: All the exhibits
- 11 have been received into evidence. I double
- 12 checked the transcript before we started today.
- 13 MS. TAMRAT: Thank you.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Hearing Officer
- **15** Exhibit 1 is the complimentary and disciplinary
- 16 records, and Superintendent's Exhibits 1, Group
- 17 2, Group 3, I want to say 4 and Group 5. Group
- 18 4 and Group 5 have been received into evidence.
- 19 MS. TAMRAT: Thank you.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER BATES: I think they were
- 21 stipulated to by counsel.
- 22 MR. HERBERT: They were.
- 23 MS. TAMRAT: Yes.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Officer Anderson,
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-
 - -(312) 368-1228-

127

- 1 I'm going to remind you you are still under2 oath.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 4 (Witness was duly
- 5 sworn.)
- 6 MAURICE ANDERSON,
 - called as a witness herein, after having been
- 8 previously duly sworn, was examined and
- 9 testified as follows:
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. HERBERT:
 - Q. Good afternoon. Please introduce
- 13 yourself, spell your first and last name.
 - A. Maurice Anderson. M-A-U-R-I-C-E.
- 15 A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.
 - Q. And, Mr. Anderson, how old are you?
- 17 A. 49.
- **Q.** And are you currently married or
- 19 single?

7

10

12

14

16

- 20 A. Single.
- **Q.** Do you have any children?
 - A. I do.
- **Q.** How many kids do you have?
- 24 A. A daughter, one.
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-(312) 368-1228-

- 1 Q. And how old is she?
- 2 A. She is 11.
 - Q. Okay. And how long have you -- you're
- 4 currently employed as a Chicago police officer;
- 5 is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And how long have you been a police
- 8 officer?

3

6

9

- A. 17 years.
- Q. And can you give a brief description
- 11 of your career on the Chicago Police
- 12 Department?
- 13 A. I've worked patrol mostly, so I worked
- 14 in the 22nd District, and from there I went to
- 5 4th District in patrol division. Then I
- 16 transferred to the 11th District where I worked
- 17 on the tactical team for four and a half years.
- 8 Since then I've worked on gun teams, I've
- 19 worked in the detective division, transferred
- 20 to the 6th District, and I'm currently assigned
- 21 to the 3rd District.
- **Q.** Okay. Prior to starting work for the
- 23 Chicago Police Department, how were you
- 24 employed?

1

9

11

12

23

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

129

- A. I was a caseworker.
- **Q.** And for whom did you work?
- 3 A. Chicago Youth Centers Youth Welfare
- 4 Services.
- Q. Where is that located or where was
- 6 that located?
- 7 A. Then that office was at 10 West 35th
- 8 Street in the IIT administrative building.
 - Q. How long did you work there
- 10 approximately?
 - A. Four years, I think.
 - Q. Okay. And what types of job
- 13 assignments did you have?
- 14 A. Mostly -- primarily our unit dealt
- 15 with troubled kids, kids who were having
- 16 problems in school, behavior problems,
- 17 runaways, true aunts mostly.
- ir ranaways, true aurits mostry
- Q. And were you ever disciplined whileworking there?
- 20 A. Was I disciplined?
- 21 **Q.** Yes.
- 22 A. No.
 - Q. For any work infractions?
- 24 A. No, no.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

Page 126 to 129 of 152

- 1 Q. Where did you grow up, what
- 2 neighborhood?
- 3 A. In Gresham.
- **Q.** And did you go to high school in that neighborhood?
- 6 A. I went to the high school at CVS.
- 7 Q. Did you graduate from CVS?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What year did you graduate?
- 10 A. 1983.
- 11 Q. And after graduating from high school
- 12 did you continue your education?
- 13 A. I did.
- 14 Q. Where at?
- 15 A. Southern Illinois University
- 16 Carbondale.
- 17 Q. And did you receive your degree?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what did you obtain your degree
- 20 in?

8

- A. In administration of justice, law
- 22 enforcement.
- 23 Q. And was that in 1989?
- 24 A. Yes.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

131

- 1 Q. Aside from the work that you spoke of,
- 2 did you have any other employment?
- 3 A. Worked for the post office.
 - Q. How long did you work -- that's the
- 5 United States Post Office?
- 6 A. Yes. I was off and on maybe three 7 years.
- **Q.** Okay.
- 9 MR. HERBERT: I have no further questions.
- MS. TAMRAT: I have no further questions
- 11 either.

4

- 12 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Thank you, Officer.
- 13 Don't forget to unclip yourself.
- 14 THE WITNESS: All right.
- 15 (Witness excused.)
- 16 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Any other
- 17 witnesses, Counsel?
- 18 MR. HERBERT: No other witnesses. The only
- 19 exhibit we had was the hearing officer exhibit,
- 20 the complimentary and disciplinary history.
- 21 With that we rest.
 - HEARING OFFICER BATES: Go into closing
- arguments or do you have a rebuttal?
- 24 MS. TAMRAT: I don't have rebuttal.
 - -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-(312) 368-1228-

23

24

132

MR. HERBERT: We request a two-minute break
 before closing.

3 HEARING OFFICER BATES: I was going to ask

would it be your preference to have lunch now or to just take a guick break and then close?

6 MS. TAMRAT: Quick break.

7 HEARING OFFICER BATES: We'll stand in

8 recess for ten minutes.

9 (Recess.)

10 HEARING OFFICER BATES: Let's proceed with

11 closing arguments. Counsel.

MS. TAMRAT: Good afternoon, Mr. Bates,

13 opposing counsel, Mr. Herbert, honorable

4 members of the Police Board: The City, the

15 Superintendent specifically, has proven by

16 preponderance of the evidence the charges

17 against Officer Anderson.

18

24

5

13

This case is pretty

19 straightforward. There are two issues. Two

20 main issues in this case. Number one, did he

21 hit her as charged, and number two, did he lie

22 about hitting her when he was interviewed by

23 IPRA. Those are the two main issues.

There's a third issue, which is -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

133

- does the recommended penalty -- is the
- 2 recommended penalty appropriate in this case
- 3 which is the 45-day suspension. I think that's
- 4 the easier one.

Actually, all the issues are very

6 easy to determine based on the overwhelming

7 evidence that was presented at this hearing.

8 So question number one, did he

9 hit her? There's overwhelming evidence

10 presented that, yes, Officer Anderson did hit

11 his ex-wife as charged in the charges and

12 specifications.

We heard from Officer Lewis

4 herself today, and the Board will be able to

15 determine her credibility as she testified.

16 She remembers exactly what happened to her.

17 She had had the police called to the scene.

18 And so we have pictures of the injuries that

19 she sustained. And we also had the testimony

of Officer Samantha Moore who responded to the

scene and was able to observe the injuries thatOfficer Lewis had sustained.

So we have the testimony of

Officer Lewis. We have the testimony of -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

Officer Moore. We have the pictures taken by
 the evidence technician and we have the 911
 call. So did it happen? It absolutely did
 happen. We did prove by preponderance of the evidence.

In fact, if this were a criminal case, we had sustained the burden for the criminal case as well. It is overwhelming evidence on the record.

So the next question is, because he's charged with Rule 1, which is domestic battery, and he's also charged with the same conduct violating Rule 8 and Rule 9, disrespect, maltreatment, unjustified physical altercation. But in addition we have a Rule 14. So when he was questioned IPRA whether or not he hit her, what did he say? He unequivocally categorically denied that he had any physical contact with Officer Lewis that day.

So based on the overwhelming evidence that he did, in fact, hit her as alleged, he lied. He provided statements on three different occasions, and all the -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

statements have been entered into evidence. He was asked on May 7th of 2009. He denied it. He was asked on April 14th, 2010, he denied it again. He was asked on April 26th, 2010, he denied it again.

Three separate interviews with IPRA. Each time he categorically denied that he denied her to fall to the floor, that he pushed her. He denied he punched her. He denied he grabbed her neck or choked her. He denied having any physical contact with his wife on that particular day. And so that's the case. Pretty straightforward and we have proven it.

The third issue is in cases once you prove that the conduct occurred, what about the recommended penalty.

In this case, the recommended
penalty happens to be a 45-day suspension. So
clearly the -- Mr. Bates and the members,
honorable members of the Police Board, would
understand that based on the egregious nature
of the conduct, a 45-day suspension is more
than justified.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.(312) 368-1228-

Officer Anderson abused his wife
when there were three young children in the
house. A nine-year old, a six-year old and a
two-year old were in the house and heard their
mother screaming.

Officer Lewis had to yell to her
son, to her nine-year old son, to call the
police. And the son, nine-year-old son, had to
scream "don't hurt my mommy" or something to
that effect.

He caused not only a traumatic experience for Officer Lewis, but a traumatic experience for these young children in the house. So absolutely a 45-day suspension is more than justified for this conduct.

Based on this, the Superintendent would respectfully request that Officer Anderson be found guilty and be issued the penalty requested. Thank you.

penalty requested. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Thank you.

MR. HERBERT: Thank you. Opposing Counsel,

Mr. Hearing Officer, ladies and gentlemen of
the Board: What we have here is essentially
two different stories. One of the stories has

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.
-(312) 368-1228-

1 to be incorrect. There's certainly the chance

2 that different versions of each of their

3 stories are incorrect on some matters and that

4 can be explained by a number of factors, not

5 the least of which is the cause of the length

6 of time that has lingered since this case began

7 until when it has come to hearing before the

8 Board. And I made numerous pre-trial motions,

9 and I'm not going to reargue those, but I would

10 ask the Board to take note of those, and I

11 believe that the Board does not even need to

12 rule on the merits of this case because the

12 Tule on the ments of this case because the

13 charges should be dismissed for the arguments

outlined in the pre-trial motion.

However, with respect to the merits of this case, what we have here is essentially a he said versus she said case. Not unusual in a domestic case. Not unusual in a criminal case or domestic cases that are brought before an administrative agency here.

Often times when there is a domestic incident, both parties share some guilt, and the common phrase that somewhere in the middle between the stories is most likely -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

138

what happened. 1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

As far as what happened in this 3 case, none of us know. Not myself, not opposing counsel, not Mr. Hearing Officer, and certainly not the ladies and gentlemen of the Board. However, the Board is responsible for making a decision in this case. And the Board has to determine which version of the stories is more correct. And essentially it is -- one has to be chosen over the other.

There's really no corroboration evidence in this case other than the testimonies of the two individuals involved in this case.

So what the Board needs to conclude is who's telling the more likely version of events to determine whether or not charges should be sustained.

Important to note here is the burden in this case. It's not a criminal case, but, of course, the City bears the burden to prove that Ms. Lewis' testimony was more believable than Mr. Anderson's.

> And I would submit for a number -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

> > 139

of reasons, which I'll get into, the Board will not be able to come to that conclusion.

As far as our case goes, 4 Mr. Anderson testified. Did we prove beyond a reasonable doubt or even beyond a -- by a preponderance that Mr. Anderson was not guilty of all these charges? Probably not. But, again, we don't need to prove anything here. It's the case that the City needs to prove, and they need to do that through their witness, Ms. Lewis. So what needs to be looked at is the two stories and which stories were impeached.

With regard to Mr. Lewis -- Mr. Anderson, with regard to Maurice Anderson, there is no impeachment from his story.

He testified that this is what happened, and when he was given -- when he gave statements on three different occasions, it was exactly the same story, the same as what he testified to. 21

Ms. Lewis on the other hand, her testimony was impeached. The only thing that 24 is really undisputed in this case are several -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

1 factors.

And those factors lean toward the 2 believability of one person's story and the impeachment of some person's story. 4

What is uncontested here is that 5 Ms. Lewis caused and was responsible solely for 6

7 this confrontation. It's uncontested Maurice Anderson was in the basement of the house, in

9 the dark, talking on the telephone. Not

involved in a confrontation at all. Not until 10

11 Ms. Lewis came down who was upset because she

believed Maurice was speaking with another 12

woman. She confronted Maurice. She was angry 13

14 about the situation. She told him pack your

15 bags and get out of my house. A situation

that's probably not uncommon with a marriage

that was on the rocks such as Maurice 17

Anderson's and Sharita Lewis' marriage at the 18

19 time.

20 What does Maurice Anderson do 21 based upon being confronted with erroneous accusations? He does not confront her. He 22

complies and says, you know what, I'm getting 23

out of here. So he goes to leave the house.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

141

1 And at that point the version of the events, really we have two different versions of the

events. But those are the facts that are

undisputed leading to this alleged 4

confrontation. 5

6 So we need to look at the further impeachments to determine what really happened 7 here. 8

9 Well, Ms. Lewis was impeached on a couple of pretty significant points. And I 10 think the biggest one was the location of the 11 12 incident.

13 Ms. Lewis talked about how this 14 punching and choking, that took place in the basement. And prior to getting to that, the 15 other point that is not in dispute is -- the 16 only physical abuse that is not in dispute is 17

Ms. Lewis' attack on Mr. Anderson with a fire 18

poker, which we all know what that is, it is a 19

metal poker, quite heavy with a sharp end, and 20

certainly that shows the mind-set that Ms. 21

22 Lewis was in and it's a mind-set consistent

with somebody that went down to confront her 23 husband because she thought he was cheating on

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

142

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

her again. But with regard to the impeachment, the location of the incident is -- was a significant impeachment in this

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

credibility.

Ms. Anderson talked about this entire incident. She said how it all happened in the basement. But that is inconsistent with what she has said earlier. And how do we know this? Well, we know it because she was asked about statements she gave to police officers right after the incident. And counsel for the Superintendent pretty much conceded that the information she provided to the police was inconsistent with her testimony

MS. TAMRAT: Objection. There was no concession about what -- there being an impeachment. I know it's closing argument. But that's inaccurate.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Continue. 21 Overruled. It's closing. I think it's --MR. HERBERT: Closing, Counsel, you remember there was a number of questions where she talked to Ms. Lewis about the information -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

143

provided in the narrative. She said, well, you never sat down with the police when they wrote this narrative, correct? And you never saw the narrative that they wrote afterwards, correct? Well, why were those questions asked and why were those answers given? Because the report said something completely different than what she testified to. And Counsel acknowledged that. And is that a significant point? It is. It is, because this whole case hinges on

And I would admit that it's not an impeachment that completely renders the case a not quilty or renders it untrue, but this is a case where it's even. It's he said/she said. And any dents in one person's testimony or impeachments to their credibility, those factors have to be weighed in versus and compared to impeachments versus the person on the other side. And again, it's a he said/she said. So it's a very significant point.

Another point that we -- we know Ms. Lewis talked about this incident happening upstairs. And we can certainly see that from -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

-(312) 368-1228-

1 the photos that have been entered into evidence. You know, she presents -- she has 2 the evidence technician obtain a photo of what 3 appears to be a blood smudge by the front door 4

of the first floor of the residence.

Well, it came out today that, oh, well, that wasn't blood from me, that was from my babysitter who cut her finger when she was picking up the glass. That doesn't make sense.

What happened is she told the police that this incident happened, she showed all her scratches and various bumps, which I would submit that these pictures certainly do not depict injuries that are consistent with her testimony.

But more so to the point is she clearly indicated that this incident happened upstairs, and that's why there was at least three different photos taken of this blood smudge, because she told the police, yeah, this is from me. Otherwise, why else do we have pictures of the babysitter's blood.

It makes no difference. Who cares if the babysitter cut her finger, picking -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

145

up glass or picking up -- you know, taking stuff out to the garage. It's not relevant to this case. 3

It was relevant at the time because unfortunately what happened here in a very heated and unfortunately a bad marriage is individuals wanted to see people get hurt.

And there's no doubt there was an argument, but Ms. Anderson that night, she was angry for an unjustified reason. When I say unjustified, there's certainly no evidence that he was talking to another woman. But she clearly thought that he was. She threatened him with discipline in his job. She struck him with a poker. She told the police a significantly different story. And I'm sure she is a very nice woman. I have no reason to believe she isn't. But I think the Board

18 19 recognizes that sometimes domestics bring out 20 the worst in people and sometimes people act 21 inconsistent with how they normally act.

two separate stories and there has to 23 be -- there has to be a weighing of these 24 -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

But in closing, again, these are

116

- 1 stories, and it has to be based upon the
- evidence in this case. And I would submit
- 3 there's been no impeachment to Mr. Anderson's story, but there has been impeachment to Ms. Lewis' story.

And then when you factor in the history of my client, Mr. Anderson, again, that goes to support his version of the events. He has no discipline despite Ms. Lewis saying that, you know, there was multiple incidents where she complained about him. Well, guess what, nobody believed her the other times apparently --

MS. TAMRAT: Objection.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

hitting her.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Move on, Counsel. MR. HERBERT: Okay. That the burden here simply can't be met based on the facts in this case. And I would ask the Board to find him not guilty and let him get on with serving the police. His honorable mention and his career awards are extremely significant. And those are in evidence. He has 108 awards in a relatively short period of time with no discipline.

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.(312) 368-1228-

I think the benefit of the doubt

147

has to be given to Mr. Anderson in this case based upon all the factors here. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Anything else?

MS. TAMRAT: Yes, briefly. It's your fault, you make me angry. I'm not violent towards anybody else. Your fault. You make me angry. That's what Officer Anderson said to his wife. It's her fault because she makes him angry. That's the justification for him

Now, Counsel is basically making the same argument. It's her fault. Because she is the one who went to him and told him take your conversation and get out of my house. Let's go ahead and blame the victim in a domestic violence case. Typical. It's her fault because she is the one who told him get out of her house. So whatever beating she got, she deserved. But that's not what the evidence shows.

Officer Anderson completely
denies that anything happened, that's what he
said then and that's what he says now. So
-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-(312) 368-1228-

obviously there can be no impeachment because he didn't give a statement about what happened.

3 He categorically denied it.

Was she impeached, really? Where is the document that shows that she provided inconsistent statements? I don't see any document in evidence. Was it proven up?

8 Absolutely not. She never said -- she never9 conceded --

HEARING OFFICER BATES: So then you do understand my ruling on the impeachment argument. I'm sorry. I couldn't help myself.

Go ahead.

MS. TAMRAT: She never -- let alone the attorney making the concession, she never conceded that she told any of the officers that the punching happened upstairs. She never said that. She said over and over again with admirable calmness that I told them what happened. I told them exactly what happened.

21 And they wrote it out. What did they write

22 out? Even what they wrote out wasn't in

23 evidence. She never said that was what she

24 told them. So there's absolutely no

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

149

1 impeachment. And this just shows that there's

2 no defense in this case, Mr. Bates, and

3 honorable members of the Police Board. There

4 is no defense. The evidence is overwhelming.

5 There is no defense.

6

So let's not blame the victim.

7 It happened. So accept what you did and accept8 the consequences. It happened. So she was not

9 impeached in any way. And the argument that

10 she actual -- she is the one who attacked him

11 with a fire poker. She attacked him. But

12 unfortunately that argument doesn't help

13 Officer Anderson because he denied it. He

14 didn't even concede that she had tried to

defend herself and that she had been swingingat him and she was trying to defend herself.

at him and she was trying to defend herself.No. According to his version,

absolutely no physical contact occurred. It was just verbal. So are we to believe that:

was just verbal. So are we to believe that shepunched herself? So what are these documents.

21 City's group Exhibit No. 2? Did she punch

22 herself in the jaw and on the head. Does she

injure herself and then call the evidencetechnician to take pictures of her injuring

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.-

- 1 herself? And then the blood stain. She never
- 2 testified that that was her blood. She said
- that came from the babysitter who was cleaning up the mess. Okay. So attempting to argue that that is evidence that -- she bled upstairs so she must have been -- he must have hit her

7 and that was her blood. It's completely inconsistent with the version that he didn't 9 hit her.

The argument Counsel is making is that, oh, today we hear that blood actually came from the babysitter. But, no, Counsel's trying to argue that the beating must have occurred or the incident must have occurred upstairs --

HEARING OFFICER BATES: No, Counsel. This 17 is your rebuttal case, but I think you completely misunderstood his argument. His argument is that she called an evidence technician and showed an evidence technician blood that she knew wasn't hers because she was trying to trump up charges against him. 22

I think that's a fair assessment 24 of what he was trying to argue. I think you -WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

151

missed that point.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

1 2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

24

MS. TAMRAT: I missed that point. If that is the argument, then obviously she testified today -- she wasn't cross-examined about the blood. She is the one who said that came from the babysitter.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Right.

MS. TAMRAT: So that argument doesn't go anywhere. Based on the actual evidence presented at the Police Board, we have proven our case. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER BATES: Okay. Very good job by both counsel. And we will stand adjourned.

> (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned at 1:00 p.m.)

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS:

COUNTY OF COOK)

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, C.S.R., being first 5 duly sworn, says that she is a court reporter 6 doing business in the City of Chicago; that she 7 reported in shorthand the proceedings had at 8 the hearing of said cause; that the foregoing 9 is a true and correct transcript of her shorthand notes, so taken as aforesaid, and 10 11 contains all the proceedings of said hearing. 12

> MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, CSR License No. 084.002740

-WICHMANN-KLAWITTER REPORTING, LTD.--(312) 368-1228-

POLICE BOARD -3 NOV 2014 10 C